Monday, April 3, 1989, the Honarable Commissioners Court met in a special session at 10:00 A. M., with the following members present:

> County Judge Royce C. Smith Commissioner, Prct. # 1 C. Carlin McDaniel Commissioner, Prct. # 2 Billy J. McGee Commissioner, Prct. # 3 James H. Conn Commissioner, Prct. # 4 Chester Cox, Sr. Nadine Gary Clerk of the Court

Commissioner Billy McGee did not arrive until lo:25. He had a meeting in Brookeland.

Meeting was called to order by Judge Smith. He informed the Court he talked with TAC Friday afternoon concerning coverage for public officials. Errors and Omissions coverage - \$1,000.00 deductable - \$100,000.00 coverage for County Clerk; \$15,000.00 coverage for District Clerk- at cost of \$2,397.00. Motion was made by Commissioner McGee, seconded by Commissioner Cox, to accept this coverage. Motion carried. (Covers present and past incedents.)

Law Enforcement Liability - \$1,000.00 deductable - Cost\$10,186.00. This is coverage for present and past incedents. Commissioner McGee made a motion, which was seconded by Commissioner Conn, to accept this proposal. All voted for. These policies were effective March 29, 1989.

Commissioner Cox made a motion which was seconded by Commissioner Conn, that meeting recess. Motion carried.

COMMISSIONER, PRCT. # 1

COMMISSIONER, PRCT. # 3

COMMISSIONER, PRCT.

CLERK OF THE COURT





## Texas Department of Health

Robert Bernstein, M.D., F.A.C.P. Commissioner SEP 2 8 1938 1100 West 49th Street Austin, Texas 78756-3199 (512) 458-7111

Robert A. MacLean, M.D. Deputy Commissioner Professional Services

Division of Solid Waste Management

Hermas L. Miller Deputy Commissioner Management and Administration

NEWSLETTER

FOR ALL CITY AND COUNTY OFFICIALS, SOLID WASTE MANAGERS AND INTERESTED PERSONS:

The long-awaited proposed revisions to the criteria for municipal solid waste landfills were finally published in the <u>Federal Register</u> on August 30, 1988. These revisions, entitled "Criteria for Municipal Solid Waste Landfills" will be published under Title 40, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 258. After they have become final, the states will have 18 months to implement the rules. These proposed rules will apply to all new and existing sites and are not expected to be retroactive to sites closed at the time the rules become effective. A brief summary of the rules follows:

#### I. Operating Criteria

- Daily cover will be required on all sites.
- Explosive gases control will be required.
- Open burning will be prohibited.
- 24-hour access control will be required on all sites.
- 5. Drainage control will be required to consist of run-on control systems to prevent flow into active portions of the landfill during a 25-year storm and run-off control systems to collect and control the volume of a 24-hour, 25-year storm.
- No discharge of water from a landfill will be permitted unless it is in accordance with the Clean Water Act.
- Liquids will not be allowed to be accepted at a landfill to include vacuum truck wastes.
- A program must be implemented to detect and prevent the disposal at the facility of regulated hazardous wastes and PCB's.

#### II. Closure and Post-Closure Criteria

- All sites will have to submit a closure and a post-closure plan for approval. New sites have to have these plans at the time of permit application.
- The post-closure maintenance period will last a minimum of 30 years.
- Financial assurance for proper closure and post-closure maintenance will have to be provided for the life of the landfill and the post-closure period. Only federal and State-owned facilities would be exempt. The amount of financial assurance will be based on a detailed, annually revised, cost estimate as to what it would cost for a third party to effect proper closure or post-closure remedial action.

140

#### III. Design Criteria

- New landfills and new units (trenches) within existing landfills will be designed against an allowable groundwater carcinogenic risk level and may be required to have liners and leachate collection systems. The allowable risk level would apply to the site boundary and would be based on a lifetime cancer risk level (due to continuous lifetime exposure) within a range from 1X10<sup>-4</sup> to 1X10<sup>-7</sup> (one case of cancer per 10,000 persons exposed to one case per 10,000,000 persons exposed).
- Completed sections of an existing landfill would not have to be retrofitted for liners and leachate collections systems, but would have to have a proper final cover and cover maintenance.

#### IV. Groundwater Monitoring Criteria

- 1. All new sites will be required to monitor the groundwater. The sampling requirements will be intense and will go through the 30-year post-closure maintenance period.
- 2. Previously filled areas within existing landfills will be exempt from groundwater monitoring requirements only if the permittee can demonstrate that there is no potential for migration of hazardous constituents to the uppermost aquifer during the active life of the landfill and the post-closure care period.

Since these rules contain very stringent requirements, EPA has explained the background and rationale for each proposed rule in an 84-page preamble to the rules and requests your comments on them.

Comments on the proposed rules must be submitted by October 31, 1988. In view of the complex and voluminous nature of the preamble and rules, the Association of State and Territorial Solid Waste Management Officials has asked EPA for a 30-day extension to the comment period. A response has not been received, so if you want additional time you should write Mr. Lee M. Thomas, Administrator, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20460.

The proposed rules are contained in Part III, pages 33313-33422, of the August 30, 1988, Federal Register, Vol. 53, No. 168. If you do not have ready access to a copy, call the USEPA Hotline (800) 424-9346 to see if a copy can be provided (may take 2 weeks to receive) or you can order a copy from the Superintendent of Documents, U. S. Government Printing Office, Washington D.C. 20402, by sending him a check or money order or charging to your GPO Deposit Account or Visa or MasterCard. Cost is \$1.50 per copy. You can order by phone at (202) 783-3238. When ordering, use the volume number and the first page number involved - 53FR33313.

Enclosed for your information is an excerpt of a recent presentation given by Mr. Glendon Eppler concerning the requirement for local governments to assure that solid waste services are provided to all persons within their jurisdiction.

Hech H Mendieta

Hector H. Mendieta, P.E., Director Division of Solid Waste Management

HHM:csh Enclosure EXCERPT FROM PRESENTATION BY MR. GLENDON EPPLER OF THE DIVISION OF SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT DURING THE MAY 18-20, 1988, SOLID WASTE CONFERENCE

II. Local Government Provision of Solid Waste Service - Is a Plan Required for Verification?

#### A. Legislative Mandate

In 1983, the 68th Texas Legislature enacted the Comprehensive Municipal Solid Waste Management, Resource Recovery, and Conservation Act. It is codified in <u>Vernon's Texas Civil Statutes</u> as Article 4477-7c. Among the law's many provisions, there is a section (Section 12) related to provision of solid waste service within each city and county in Texas. It is quoted as follows:

"Establishment of Solid Waste Management Service

Sec. 12. Each city and county shall review the provision of solid waste management services in its jurisdiction and shall assure that such services are provided to all persons within its jurisdiction by a public agency or by a private person or persons."

In addition, Section 37 of the same law contained the following deadline for provision of service.

"Compliance With Solid Waste Management Service Requirements

Sec. 37. Each city and county must comply with Section 12 of this Act not later than December 31, 1987."

During the most recent (70th) legislative session, this latter provision was amended to extend the deadline for compliance to December 31, 1989. Also, counties (not cities) of less than 30,000 population were exempted from the requirements in Section 12 of the law.

B. Who Must Provide the Services? What Do These Provisions Mean?

I believe that the provisions were originally enacted to remove any doubt about whether or not local governments have a responsibility to provide solid waste service (including collection and disposal) to citizens in their jurisdictions.

The provisions do not specify that a city or county must provide the service directly; it allows them to enter into agreements or contracts with private/commercial waste businesses or other local governments to provide the necessary service. If local governments determine that someone else will provide the service, I believe there should be written contracts or agreements and that they should contain specific conditions and requirements that the service provider must meet; and that those agreements or contracts should be effective for a specified time period which may be extended periodically, after the service is evaluated and determined to meet the contract conditions or requirements.

Why is a contract or agreement necessary? For one thing, when a local government does not provide the service directly, I think it makes good business sense to clearly define minimum standards for service, and periodically review the program of the service provider to see whether or not those standards are met. If they are not, then alternative action needs to be taken. Second, what better way can a local government find to show that the service is provided, when provided, when that local government is not providing the service directly? I believe that each local government should retain ultimate control over the waste stream. To do otherwise will severely hamper our future refforts on the part of government at any level to implement a change in waste management policy, related to recycling, a regional most system, waste-to-energy plant, etc.

C. What "Level" of Service Must be Provided?

The method of the product of the ... .. To meet the law's requirements, what level of service must be provided? In a letter to every mayor and county judge, mailed in January 1987, we tried to answer that question. The Texas Department of Health's Division of Solid Waste Management presently does not have the resources to develop and implement specific minimum service standards that local governments must meet. Also, in the absence of a clear mandate in the law that requires the department to intervene, we believe the minimum standards of service should be developed by the governing body of each local government, based on local conditions and certain other variables. For example, variables may include:

. 4

1. The type and level of existing service;

2. The severity of existing problems that need to be addressed (such as open dumping, etc.);
The population density;

The hauling distance from the point of waste generation to the point of disposal;
The level of citizens' support; and

The citizens'/government's ability to pay.

### Method of Standard-Setting/Verification.

I have already stated that in the absence of specific standards in the law and in the rules of the Texas Department of Health, standards the minimum acceptable level of service should be developed by the governing body of each local government. This would logically take the form of a study or plan of some type, upon which the governing body could take official action for the record. Since the Texas Department of Health does not have the resources to individually review and approve plans from all the cities and counties affected, we would not require that a study or plan, for the purpose of service verification, meet the requirements of "Subchapter O" of our regulations. (Subchapter O contains our guidelines for (Subchapter O contains our guidelines for regional and local solid waste management plans.) It would be best, however, if some form of study or plan was adopted by the governing body of the local government. If properly developed, adopted, and implemented, a plan would provide verification that the local government is in compliance with Section 12 of the Comprehensive Municipal Solid Waste Management, Resource Recovery and Conservation Act. We do not require these plans to be submitted to the Texas Department of Health for review and approval.

and the second s	
I, NADINE GARY, County Clerk of Sabine County, Texas do hereby	certify
that the foregoing instrument was filed	1989 at
O'ClockM. and duly recorded Ap	sil5
1989 at 9:00 0'Clock A. M.	
NADINE GARY CLERK Janice McDaniel DE	PUTY
THE PETER SETTING AND	

一切のか 一切のない まずかしてい

## TEXAS ASSOCIATION OF COUNTIES

P.O. Box 2131, Austin, Texas 78768

Sam D. Seale, Executive Director

1204 San Antonio (512) 478-8753

Paul Spell, Ph.D., Associate Executive Director

March 28, 1989

The Honorable Royce C. Smith Sabine County Judge P. O. Box 716 Hemphill, Texas 75948

Dear Judge Smith:

Thank you for the opportunity to present the enclosed proposal for Automobile and General Liability coverage to your county. Because of problems that counties have had in the past in obtaining liability insurance, TAC developed a program which, I believe, responds well to the unique needs of county government. I have enclosed a liability comparison checklist that you can use to compare our proposal with any others you may receive.

To initiate coverage, please complete the enclosed coverage acceptance form immediately and return to us. In addition, two copies of the interlocal agreement are included. Please have the appropriate official sign both copies of the agreement and return one copy to us.

If you have any questions or need assistance in completing these forms, please do not hesitate to call Jim Jean, Director of Programs or myself at 1-800-222-5974.

Sincerely.

Max Wilson

Director of Marketing

mq/WM

Enclosures

## TEXAS ASSOCIATION OF COUNTIES P. O. Box 2131 Austin, Texas 78768

#### COMPREHENSIVE GENERAL LIABILITY

PROPOSAL DATE: March 28, 1989

ENTITY: SABINE COUNTY

Limits: Bodily Injury

\$100,000/person

\$300,000/occurrence

Property Damage

\$100,000

Proposed Effective Date:

April 1, 1989

Annual contribution (non-assessable) includes modifier of 1.00. If we determine a different modifier applies, the contribution will be revised accordingly.

with no deductible per BI or PD claim

\$7,790

with \$5,000 deductible per BI or PD claim

\$6,616

with \$10,000 deductible per BI or PD claim

\$6,103

#### Proposal includes the following coverages:

- Comprehensive general liability coverage including protection for independent contractors, contractual liability and;
- Products/completed operations (aggregate limit provision \$300,000 BI and \$100,000 PD applies)
- Employee benefit liability coverage affording protection for claims arising out of errors in the administration of employee benefit programs. (\$1,000 deductible)
- the operations of a county dump are covered, with the execption of pollution exposures.
- Pollution claims are not covered.
- The broad form comprehensive general liability endorsement including coverages schedule below:
  - -- contractual liability coverage providing coverage for liability assumed in both written and oral contracts.
  - -- advertising injury liability.

Personal injury is included. However, since the comprehensive general liability policy is not intended to provided law enforcement protection, the personal injury providions covering false arrest, detention, imprisonment or malicious prosecution and covering wrongful entry or eviction or other invasion of the right of private occupancy have been deleted. The result is a restriction in personal injury protection which leaves law enforcement liability protection with those underwriters.

- Premises medical payments coverage.
- Host liquor law liability coverage.
- Fire legal liability coverage for real property.
- Broad form property damage liability coverage (including completed operations).
- Incidental medical malpractice liability coverage. The most notable exclusion here indicates the incidental medical malpractice does not apply to an entity engaged in providing medical services.
- Coverage has been extended to include protection for claims arising from both owned and nonowned watercraft liability (with watercraft under 26 feet in length).
- Limited worldwide coverage.

विकास अस्ति । एए एक प्राप्त

- Additional persons insured coverage.
- Unintentional errors and omissions endorsement stating that the unintentional failure of the county to disclose all hazards existing as of the inception date of the coverage shall not prejudice that county with respect to coverage under the Pool.
- Blanket additional insured endorsement stating that coverage is provided for additional insureds as required by contracts entered by the county.
- Except this endorsement shall not apply to any contract between the named insured and any other governmental body, political subdivision or voluntary association.

The state of the s

#### TEXAS ASSOCIATION OF COUNTIES P. O. Box 2131 Austin, Texas 78 78768

#### AUTO LIABILITY

PROPOSAL DATE: March 28, 1989

ENTITY: SABINE COUNTY

Bodily Injury Limits:

Action Strategic by

\$100,000/person

\$300,000/occurrence

Property Damage

\$100,000

Proposed Effective Date: March 29, 1989

Annual contribution (non-assessable) includes modifier of 1.00. If we determine a different modifier applies, the contribution will be revised accordingly.

No deductible

\$7,247

\$1,000 deductible

\$6,537

\$5,000 deductible

\$5,719

This proposal includes the coverages checked below:

- Coverage for nonowned and hired vehicles. [X]
- Coverage for county-owned vehicles only. [X]
- Uninsured motorists coverage = 20/40/15 included in [X] contribution.

Limits:

Bodily Injury

\$20,000/person

Property Damage

\$40,000/occurrence \$15,000

- Personal injury protection: \$5,000/person included in contribution for private-passenger, pickup and vans.
- Limited Mexico coverage included. Provides protection for up to 25 miles from United States border. [ ]
- [X] Unintentional errors and omissions endorsement stating the unintentional failure of the insured to disclose all hazards existing as of the inception date of the policy shall not prejudice the county with respect to coverage.

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* IT IS UNDERSTOOD THE FIRE TRUCKS AND AMBULANCES INCLUDED IN THIS AUTO LIABILITY QUOTE ARE 100% COUNTY OWNED.

#### P. O. Box 2131 Austin, Texas 78 89*L*8*L* TEXAS ASSOCIATION OF COUNTIES

PROPOSAL DATED: March 28, 1989

Entity: Sabine County

Contact Person: The Honorable Royce C. Smith, Sabine County Judge

Type of Coverage: Public Officials Liability

(Bearc Coverage) Annual Contribution Deductible:

Limits:

LVL'T\$

000'T\$

.000'000'T\$

( ) Full Prior Acts

Retrodate: (X) Inception

ANNUAL CONTRIBUTION OPTIONS/ENDORSEMENTS LIMIT OF LIABILITY

000'000'Ts

Punitive Damages:

008\$ SLT\$ County Clerks E & O

000'00T

Endorsement:

12,000

and the second range where it was the second

SLT\$

District Clerks E & O

Eudorsement:

**L6E'Z\$** 

Total Contribution:

Proposal is subject to: Receipt of completed TAC application and current county financial statement.

Signature of TAC Official;

COVERAGE ACCEPTANCE

Liability coverage as offered on this proposal is accepted.

EFFECTIVE DATE: 3-29-89

SIGNATURE OF ACCEPTING OFFICIAL:

Provide name, adddress and phone number of individual to contact as coordinator for billing and other matters.

\*This acceptance not valid unless received by the TAC office no later than 60 days from the proposal date shown above unless

extension is granted by TAC.

# TEXAS ASSOCIATION OF COUNTIES P. O. Box 2131 Austin, Texas 78768

the server was in

#### COVERAGE OPTIONS AND CHARGES

#### ACCEPTANCE FORM

FOR: SABINE COUNTY

Coverage: Auto Liability	
Proposal Date: March 28, 1989	//
Signature of Entity Official: By	ith
Basic Coverage Limit: Bodily Injury \$100,000/per \$300,000/occ	rson currence
Property Damage \$100,000	
Deductible:	Contribution:
Please check the option you prefer.	1)
no deductible per BI or PD claim	\$7,247
\$1,000 Deductible per BI or PD claim	\$6,537
\$5,000 Deductible per BI or PD claim	\$5,719
Individual to contact as the Coordinator:	Inde
(Name) Vit	cle)
(Address) (Zir	<del>)</del>
(Area Code) (Telehone Number)	
*This acceptance not valid unless received by than 60 days from the proposal date shown above granted by TAC.	he TAC office no later unless extension is
The state of the s	

P. O. Box 2131 Austin, Texas 78 89*L*8*L* TEXAS ASSOCIATION OF COUNTIES

COVERAGE OPTIONS AND CHARGES

ACCEPTANCE FORM

SABINE COUNTY

Proposal Date: March 28, 1989 Coverage: General Liability

Signature of Entity Official:

Please check the option you prefer.

Basic Coverage Limit: Bodily Indury \$100,000/person \$300,000/occurrence

Contribution:

EOT'9\$

919'9\$

06*L'L*\$

no deductible per BI or PD claim

\$ deductible per BI or PD claim

\$ deductible per BI or PD claim

Individual to contact as the Coordinator:

(Address)

Deductible:

(địz)

(Telehone Number) (Area Code)

than 60 days from the proposal date shown above unless extension is granted by TAC. \*This acceptance not valid unless received by the TAC office no later

#### TEXAS ASSOCIATION OF COUNTIES P. O. Box 2131 Austin, Texas 78768 1/800/222-5974

Entity: Sabine County

Estate of the Committee

Proposal Date: April 1, 1989

Contact Person: The Honorable Royce C. Smith, Sabine County Judge

TYPE OF COVERAGE: Law Enforcement Liability

Limits:	Deductible:	Contribution: (Basic Coverage)
1,000,000	\$1,000	\$ 5,500
OPTIONS/ENDORSEMENTS:	•	
Full Prior Acts		\$ 4,136
Punitive Damages Endorsement (\$1,000,000 Limit)	<b>:</b>	\$ 550

TOTAL CONTRIBUTION:
WITH \$1,000,000 LIMIT:
At Inception With Full Prior Acts -

\$6,050 \$10,186

Subject to receipt of original/completed TAC application and verification of no claims.

Signature:

Date: 4/3/

\*This acceptance not valid unless received by the TAC office no later than 60 days from the proposal date shown above unless extension is (srea code) (telephone number) (diz) (address) (ETTTT) (name) Individual to contact as the Coordinator: Date Signature of TAC official Total contribution (to be completed by TAC upon acceptance) \$ I decline Punitive Damages Coverage I elect Punitive Damages coverage (Price Included in Quotation) Option I Punitive Damages Coverage ∠ 981'01\$ With Full Prior Acts At Inception \$6,050 000'T\$ // Contribution: bjesse cyeck the option you prefer: Basic Coverage Limit: \$1,000,000  $^{\circ}$ Signature of Entity Official: Proposed Effective Date: Am 6867/~ Coverage: Law Enforcement Liability L4/1959 SYBINE COUNTY EOE COVERAGE ACCEPTANCE

PROPOSAL DATE:

TEXAS ASSOCIATION OF COUNTIES

P. O. Box 2131 Austin, Texas 78768 1/800/222-5974

TEXAS ASSOCIATION OF COUNTIES

#### 512 478 6228

TFXAS	ASSOCIATION	<b>○</b> E	COZINI	<b>~</b>	Ċ
r = 22/10	MODUCIATION	OF	COUNT		3

	CINTION OF		
P.O. Box 2131, Austin, Texas 78768		1204 San Antonio (512) 478-8753	5)
Sam D. Seale, Executive Director		Paul Spell, PluD., Associate Executive Director	
	FACSIMILE COVER	PAGE	., .,

This facsimile is for:
Name Judge Royce Smith
Department Subine County
Phone Number 409 - 787 - 3543
Telecopier Phone: 1-409 - 787 - 2554
This facsimile is from:
Name Jim JEAN
· Department Tex Assoc. of Counties
Phone Number (512) 478-8753
Telecopier Phone 1-(512)-478-0519
The number of pages being transmitted is:
(This also includes the cover page).
Please call (512) 478-8753 if transmission is incomplete.
Message: This is Confirmation That your
Automobile + General Liability Coverage with
THE TEXAS Association of Counties was
placed in Force 3/39/89
EXAS ASSOCIATION
Risk Program Mar
1/3/89
I, NADINE GARY, County Clerk of Sabine County, Texas do hereby certify
that the foregoing instance the foregoing instance that the foregoing instance the foregoing in
that the foregoing instrument was filed1989 at
O'Clock M. and duly recorded Quill2
19.89 at 9:00 0'Clock A. M.
NADINE GARY CLERK Ganice McDaniel DEPUTY
DEPUTY